

terygian Fishes in the British Museum" (v. 2, p. 316)—a work which has served as the basis of the "Introduction to the Study of Fishes"—that the "*Collichthys pama*" inhabits the "Bay of Bengal, entering rivers." The statement given as a deduction is therefore really a co-ordination—and an entirely sophistical one—of the ascertained structural peculiarity and the habitat of that species.

One other characteristic deduction, also relating to a Sciænoid type, may be noticed because of its interest to American students.

The "Drum" of the Atlantic (*Pogonias chromis*) is especially mentioned in connection with "the extraordinary sounds which are produced by it and other allied Sciænoids." "It is [says Dr. Günther] still a matter of uncertainty by what means the "Drum" produces the sounds. Some naturalists believe that it is caused by the clapping together of the pharyngeal teeth, which are very large molar teeth. However, if it be true [sage proviso!] that the sounds are accompanied by a tremulous motion of the vessel, it seems more probable that they are produced by the fishes beating their tails against the bottom of the vessel in order to get rid of the parasites with which that part of their body is infested." In this paragraph are several illegitimate assumptions and inferences which a slight knowledge of the literature respecting the subject would have prevented. (1) The sounds are entirely independent of "vessels." (2) There was no reason to suppose that the fish in question was more infested with parasites in the tail than any other. (3) The statement that "allied Sciænoids" (and this is especially true of the closely related fresh-water sheepshead, or *Haploidonotus*, referred by Günther to a genus with which it has not the slightest affinity!) produce similar sounds was for the moment forgotten. (4) The co-ordination of facts and phenomena rendered it unnecessary to look to such source for solution. (5) The source indicated was one of the most improbable that could be conceived. There is, indeed, ample cause for surprise that any educated ichthyologist could suppose that a fish would agitate its tail in the manner suggested to relieve a spasmodic pain, such as is postulated by the explanation given. Our author's credence in the allegation that the sounds produced are "accompanied by a tremulous motion of the vessel," was, as we have seen, sufficient to impel him to substitute a most improbable for at least a probable hypothesis.

A mistake of another kind is made respecting the Rays. It is said that "the majority are oviparous" (p. 336). As was long ago recognized by Müller and Henle, the Raïdæ are the only oviparous rays; Günther includes them all in one family and four genera, and admits about 35 species. All the others recorded by him, so far as known, are viviparous; they number, in his opinion, five families, twenty genera and more than 100 species, consequently the majority are viviparous!

Whether a work so abounding in errors that we are only able to specify a few as examples and hint at some kinds of others is worth acquiring must be left to the reader to judge. As a curiosity in taxonomical literature it certainly is, but for such purposes as are most desirable—correct information and identification of genera—it is certainly *is not*. THEO. GILL.

DESCARTES AND THE BAROMETRIC THEORY.—At one of the late sittings of the Academy of Moral and Political Science, M. Nourisson made an extremely interesting communication relative to a letter of Descartes, in which the great philosopher clearly indicates the principal of atmospheric pressure, twelve years before Toricelli's experiments on the barometer. Toricelli constructed the first barometric tube in 1643; in 1647 Pascal accomplishes his celebrated experiments of Puy-de-Dôme and of the "Tour Saint Jacques." It would appear that Descartes had suggested to the author of *Pensées* the idea of this mode of experiment.

CORRESPONDENCE.

To the Editor of SCIENCE:

ON ETHER.

There are two theories in regard to ether, one of which assumes that it is a discontinuous medium, that is, a medium composed of particles at enormous distances apart, as compared with their diameters.

In this theory ether is spoken of or defined as an "imponderable elastic medium." If we examine the above definition we find several inconsistencies. To begin with, an imponderable body is a body without weight. Now the weight of a body, is the result of the mutual attraction, exerted between it and some other body; in other words, weight is the effect of gravitation. Now as every particle attracts every other particle with a force, that is directly as the mass, and inversely as the square of the distance between them, an imponderable body must be one in which the mass is zero, or that is at such a distance from every other body that the reciprocal of the square of this distance is zero. The last supposition is of course absurd.

Now the mass of a body is equal to the product of its volume and density, or $M = dV$ and if M is equal to zero, either d or V must be zero and as it would be impossible to conceive of a body that occupies no space, we must think of d as equal to zero, or in other words an imponderable body is simply a portion of space. This same theory assumes that radiant energy is transmitted by means of the moving particles of ether, *i. e.*, one particle moving with a certain velocity, strikes another and imparts some of its energy to it and this flying off strikes another and so on. But the momentum of a body is expressed by MV and its energy by $\frac{MV^2}{2}$ (V =Velocity), making M equal to zero, as we must if the particles are imponderable, we have $0V = M_0 = 0$ and $\frac{0V^2}{2} = E = 0$, hence the transmission of radiant energy by an imponderable substance, composed of particles is an impossibility. If we assume that the particles are effected by gravitation, then at once it is evident that the ether could not be of equal density throughout the universe, for around each celestial body there would be an atmosphere of ether which would gradually decrease in density from the surface of the body outwards.

By elasticity in the above definition, is meant that property of matter, possessed by gases in the highest degree, of having its volume or density changed by some force and regaining its former state when the original condition are again imposed. When a gas is compressed, the mean free path of the molecules is shortened and the compressibility is dependent upon the length of the mean free path. When the pressure is removed, the gas expands, the expansion being due to a conversion of the energy of vibratory motion of the molecules or heat into energy of translation. If the ether is elastic, then of course with a change from less to greater density the particles must be moved nearer together, and the compressibility will be dependent upon the average distance between the particles. When a change from greater to less density takes place, the particles must be moved farther apart and the explanatory reason given for this expansion is that the energy of the moving particles causes the expansion.

From what has been said in regard to imponderability, it is evident that a discontinuous imponderable elastic substance is an impossibility according to the present ideas of dynamics. The transmission of radiant energy by a discontinuous ether, if the particles are ponderable, is possible in two ways, 1st, By an alternate rarefaction, and condensation of the ether, similar to the manner in which sound is transmitted through air. 2d, By the

movements of individual particles. If the first is true, the same conditions must apply to the transmission of radiant energy, as to the propagation of sound. Sound travels through air with a velocity of 330 miles per second, at 0° cent.

Taking for an example a sound produced by a body vibrating 20,000 times per second and dividing the velocity of sound by this number, we have as the wave length 16.5 mm. Clausius has shown that the mean free path of an oxygen molecule is 5000 times its diameter.

Taking $\frac{1}{5 \times 10^4}$ mm. as the diameter, we have 10^4 mm. as the mean free path of an oxygen molecule, and dividing the length of the sound wave calculated above, by this number we have 1665×10^4 , or the length of the wave of this extremely high note, is 1665×10^4 times longer than the mean free path of an oxygen molecule, hence it is evident that the propagation of sound is dependent primarily upon the movement of aggregates of molecules.

The elasticity of the ether is assured to be many times greater than that of the most perfect gas. Assuming that it is 1000 times more elastic than oxygen gas, the average distance between the surfaces of the particles must be 1000 times greater than the average distance between the surfaces of the oxygen molecules.

Taking as the mean free path of an oxygen molecule, 10^4 mm., the distance between the particles of ether would be .1 mm. Now the wave length of a certain ray of red light is .000,609 mm., hence the average distance between the particles is 164 times as great as this particular wave length. It follows from this, that the transmission of radiant energy, through such an elastic medium as the ether, cannot be in any way comparable with the propagation of sound through air. If the energy is not transmitted in this manner, then it must be transmitted in the second way, *i. e.*, by the movements of individual particles. But with an ether as elastic as generally assumed, this is impossible, since the average distance between the particles is 164 times as great as the length of a comparatively long undulation, and it would be absurd to say that a vibrating molecule could, by impact with a particle of ether, send the particle 5000 times the diameter of the molecule, and further, that the particle would return from this long journey in time for the next vibration. Even assuming that the particles of ether could move fast enough to accomplish this movement in each vibration, then if the molecules are circular, the particle would have to return in a line that was normal to the surface of the molecule at the point of contact, or it would fly off in another direction after impact with the molecule, and as the particles are so far apart, as compared with the diameters of the molecules, if one particle was driven off there would be no other to take its place. There would also be required a series of particles in a straight line between the body receiving and the body radiating energy.

But it is needless to enlarge upon this method of transmitting radiant energy, for the constant length of undulation and undulatory motion itself, would be impossible in a medium, in which the average distance between the particles was 164 times as long as an undulation.

The only discontinuous medium through which radiant energy *could* be transmitted, would be one in which the average distance between the particles was a small fraction of an undulation. But in a medium of this sort there would be hardly any chance for compression, much less than in oxygen gas, and to assume that ether is less elastic than a gas, is contrary to the theory of discontinuous ether. As a discontinuous ether will not answer the requirements, we must, if we assume *any* ether, assume a continuous one. By means of a continuous ether all the phenomena of light can be explained. One is inclined, however, to apply to a continuous ether the same reasoning as is applied to matter. But as ether is not matter,

we cannot with justice attribute to it any of the properties of matter except extension and elasticity, and till we are much farther advanced in our knowledge of the universe, it will be impossible to say anything about ether, except to assume its existence and its continuity. B.

THE COMET.

The comet was seen from this Observatory at 14h. 30m., June 22, 1881. The latitude of the place is $41^\circ 13'$; longitude from Washington in time, 53m. 48s. This longitude is approximate, as we have no transit, and being without a correct astronomical clock, are continually annoyed for want of true time. The latitude is somewhat indeterminate, as the declination circle has no Vernier, reading seconds. The telescope (a fine 6 inch Alvan Clark & Sons), is not precisely in the meridian, and we are unable to place it there with accuracy, having no micrometer. With all these hindrances, the adjustment is such that catalogued stars are always in field with power of 60, but in many cases fail to come to the centre or line of collimation. When observation was first made the declination was $43^\circ 10'$, then make δ = the declination = $43^\circ 10'$, and λ = the latitude of New Windsor = $41^\circ 13'$, and take:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \log. \tan. \delta & = & - \quad - \quad - \quad 9.972,188 \\ \log. \tan. \lambda & = & - \quad - \quad - \quad 9.942,478 \end{array}$$

$$\log. \sin. 55^\circ 15' = - \quad - \quad - \quad 9.914,666$$

which being converted into time = 3h. 41m.; and 6h. — 3h. 41m. = 2h. 19m., A. M., June 23, mean local time in New Windsor, or time of comet's rising, that is, of the nucleus. The tail being several degrees long and directed towards Polaris, was above the horizon some time before.

Had the horizon been water, the nucleus would have come in sight at 2.19, as it was, an interval of 11m. was required to bring it above undulations of the earth. We thought best not to telegraph before seeing the nucleus, but as soon as we positively knew the apparition to be a comet, haste was made to send dispatch. The village is on a branch railroad and telegraph offices are not open nights, so we had to send to the residence of the operator, arouse and engage him to go to the office and send telegram. This took time, and it was not until after 3 A. M. that message was sent. Meanwhile we endeavored to place telescope on nucleus but were unable to, as there was a tree in range, causing another delay until 3.30, when observation was made—the nucleus being an hour above horizon and in apparent

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R. A. & - & - & - & - & - & 5h. 34m. \\ \delta & - & - & - & - & - & 43^\circ 10' \end{array}$$

a rough position, as no corrections were made for refraction or parallax.

The telegram read: "Vast comet in northeastern heavens." After mature consideration we regret using so many words, one only—"Comet,"—was all that was necessary, when the acute observer, Swift, would have been on the alert at once. Before sunrise we were favored with 30 minutes good definition, when two envelopes were seen, the nucleus extending a bridge to the external surface of the inner one. Since, the nucleus has changed form, is no longer round, but has prolonged into a beak-shaped mass, and looks like Comet III., 1862, August 29, as drawn by Challis' (Chambers' Astronomy, p. 322). The cometary matter is of great tenuity, as it was seen to run over a sixth magnitude star at 10h. June 28, which passed about $15''$ from nucleus, yet it was visible through the immense volume of gas.

The comet was seen from many points in the Western States twenty-four hours before noticed at this place, by steamboat hands, street-car drivers, railroad conductors, night-watchmen, policemen and many others whose business required them to be out all night.

NEW WINDSOR, ILL., July 1st, 1881. EDGAR L. LARKIN.