joyous times in camp; the meat is disposed of first' and then the younger people engage in various games' while the older ones gather around some aged crone, who excitedly recounts the hunts of her girlhood days, plentifully intermixing stray portions of the old sagas and legends with which her memory is replete. Thus they live from day to day, the men hunting and the women stretching the skins, till the season comes around when they must return to the coast. Happy, contented, vagabond race! no thought of the morrow disturbs the tranquility of their minds.

When a deer is killed any distance from camp, the meat is cached, with the intention of returning after it in winter; but with what the wolves and foxes devour and what the Eskimo never can find again, very little is brought back.

Many have now firearms of some pattern or other ; and though they will hunt for a ball that has missed its mark for half a day, they do not hesitate to fire at any useless creature that comes in their way. Those that have no guns use bows and arrows made from reindeer antlers. Sometimes the deer are driven into ponds, and even into the salt water, and captured in kyacks with harpoons.

(Continued.)

COAL.

BY P. W. SHEAFER, M. E., POTTSVILLE, PA.

I.

Coal is monarch of the modern industrial world, with its wonderfully diversified interests, and their ever expanding development. But supreme as is this more than kingly power at the present time, comparatively brief as has been the period of its supremacy, and unlimited, in the popular apprehension, as are its apparent resources, yet already can we calculate its approximate duration and predict the end of its allpowerful but beneficent reign. This is especially the case with our limited Anthracite; the more widely diffused bituminous having in reserve a much longer term of service—short indeed as a segment of the world's history, but so long, compared with an average human life, as to be of slight practical concern to the present generation.

The territory occupied by the anthracite coal fields of Pennsylvania is but a diminutive spot compared with the area of bituminous coal in Pennsylvania alone, to say nothing of its vast extent in other portions of the United States, and in Great Britain, France and Belgium. The area of the anthracite of the United States is but 470 square miles, not onetwentieth the size of Lake Erie, while the wide-spread bituminous coal fields cover twice the area of our four great lakes: the anthracite making but an insignificant showing on the map of the continent. But the comparison with the bituminous area is deceptive, unless the relative thickness of the two is taken into

consideration. If the anthracite beds were spread out as thinly as those of the bituminous region they would cover eight times their present area, or 3,780 square miles. And, again, if the denuded spaces within the borders of the anthracite coal fields were covered with a deposit of coal as thick as we may justly suppose they once were, and as the remaining still are, the available area would be increased to about 2,000 square miles, or 1,280,000 acres; equal to a coal deposit of 92,840,960,000 tons.

Contemplating the number and extent of the coal beds, a total thickness of 107 feet, distributed in fifteen workable beds, interstratified with a full mile in thickness of rock and shale, we are lost in wonder at the luxuriant growth of tropical plants required to produce this vast amount of compressed fuel, and the mighty processes of nature by which it was placed in its present position. The ingenuity of scientists is taxed to account for this wonderful accumulation of fuel, once vegetable, now mineral; once waving in fresh green beauty on the surface of the earth, now buried under hundreds of feet of solid rock; once growing in a level deposit of mud so plastic that the lightest leaflet dropping on its surface, left its impress; now the mud hardened into slate, and the rank vegetation changed to hard and glittering coal, rising and falling in geologic hills and valleys, surpassing in number, depth, extent, sharpness of flexure and acuteness of angle, anything visible in the light of upper day.

Some slight idea of the growth of these ancient forests may be gained from the computation that to form only one of these large beds of coal required a deposit of vegetable matter perhaps one hundred feet in thickness. What shall we say then to the amount of vegetation stored away in the mammoth bed which extends through all three of the anthracite coal fields, covering an area of 300 square miles, with an average thickness of twenty feet, and containing, it is estimated, 6,000,000,000 tons of coal.

Not less wonderful and interesting than the coal deposits is the grand floor of conglomerate which underlies them; a vast sheet of rock, infinitely old, composed of fragments of other rocks infinitely older, bound together by an almost imperceptible cement which holds them so firmly that gunpowder will scarcely separate them. Whence came this great sea of pebbles, water rounded and water-borne to their present resting place? We find them now as the current has dropped them—masses of silex as large as ten-pound cannon balls, and almost as round, so shapely have they been worn by the action of some ancient current. These were deposited first, and then, in regular order, trending to the southwest, came sizes graduated down to those of a pea and grains of sand.

This more than marble floor bears few saurian foot prints; scarcely an impress of bird or beast or fish, or sign of animal life. Nothing but a bed of almost pure silica; a solid foundation on which to build up the mass of rock and the fossil fuel that we call anthracite, older than the hills and predestined for the use of coming man.

The pebble-laden flood ceased, and was followed by placid waters and gentle currents, bringing fine mud and silt to cover the rocky bed. Then the waters drained away, or the land rose, until fit for vegetable life, it was covered with the mighty flora of the carboniferous period. Again it sank, carrying with it its store of decayed and decaying vegetation, and another flood of pebbles rolled over it.

How many ages were consumed in the process so briefly described, who can tell? Nature's operations are on too vast a scale, and her working time too long to admit of hasty activity in the production of results. It may well be said that all the years since the creation of man would be too short a time to produce a bed of coal.

However long the process just described, it was of frequent repetition during the coal period; and thus we find pebble-beds, slate and coal in often recurring series, as in the following cross-section made at Trevorton, the western terminus of the middle Anthracite coal basin.

But through all the changes of time and scene, the upheavals and depressions, the submergence and emergence of the land, we find a remarkable uniforformity in the growth of plants, continuing almost without change throughout; sigillaria, lepidodendra, ferns, etc., following their kind, unvaried through successive series of strata, in each leaving their characteristic impress of stems and foliage on the enduring tables of the rocks. The coal flora is rich in variety and of great beauty, as Professor Lesquereaux's careful research abundantly testifies. Their exact forms show a quiet condition of the waters, at least during the deposit of the slate covering of the coal beds; and the intervening rocks show the same facts. When impressions of the flora are found in the solid coal itself, we have the same evidence; but this is of rare occurrence. The best impressions usually occur in the smooth top slate covering the coal beds.

When we examine the arrangement of the Pennsylvania Anthracite beds we wonder at their complexity. Without evidence of volcanic disruption, not even a protruded trap-dyke, or extensive up or down throw, we often find contortions and disturbances of the strata. The beds are rarely horizontal, but lie at every angle, and sometimes even pass the perpendicular and fold back upon themselves. In places they occupy our mountain summits, nearly 2,000 feet above the level of the sea, and again depressed more than 3,000 feet below it, making a variation of a mile in altitude. Yet the coal, which is the frailest material in all this rocky mass, is not destroyed, but generally in good workable condition—solid, almost crystalized, almost pure carbon, and frequently in beds too thick for economical working.

Faults in the Anthracite beds usually have a northwest and southeast direction, and show the beds compressed, and again correspondingly enlarged, but no sudden dislocations or breaking off of the strata. Soft coal, or dirt faults, are of common occurrence in the red ash or softer coals in the western end of the Anthracite fields.

The colored ash of burned coal is due, doubtless, to the presence of iron; but why this coloring matter is confined to the upper series of coals in the eastern portion of the range, and to the lower beds in the western district; and why there is a gradation in the middle district, from white ash in the lower to grey in the middle and red in the upper beds, are problems yet to be solved.

How shall we account for the great disturbance of the strata from their original horizontal position? Was it caused by volcanic force-of which there are no indications-or by contraction of the earth's crust? And if the latter, why is it confined to the Anthracite region, and not extended to the Bituminous also? And how shall we explain the isolation of the smaller coal fields, like those of Rhode Island, Richmond, Va., or Deep River, in North Carolina; or the disproportion in quantity between the limited area of Anthracite and the widespread fields of Bituminous? Why do we find an abundance of shells and remains of animal life in the latter, and rarely any in the former? A few saurian footprints recently found at the Ellangowan Colliery, in Schuylkill County, and a few shells found in the Glendower Pit, in the Wyoming Valley, are signal exceptions to an almost universal rule. After an exploration, covering the period from 1835 to 1850, Prof. H. D. Rogers and his corps of assistants failed to find any other specimens. Neither has Prof. Lesley in his new Geological Survey of Pennsylvania, or the writer in an experience of thirty years' residence and active service, underground and in surface explorations, been any more fortunate.

Nor in all this area do we find a single workable bed of iron or limestone, and scarcely a covering of fertile soil. The coal once exhausted, nothing is left but the worthless shell, desolate and deserted.

The Anthracite region, mainly confined to one-sixth the area of the four mountainous counties of Luzerne, Schuylkill, Carbon and Northumberland, in Pennsylvania, is crowded with an industrious population which increased fifty-one per cent in ten years; that is, from 229,700 in 1860 to 344,771 in 1870; whilst the four adjacent agricultural counties of similar area increased in the same time from 319,542 to 339,942, only six per cent. It is located on the parallel of 40° 30', one hundred miles from any seashore, no part of it less than 500 feet above tide-near the headwaters of the large rivers that drain it-the Susquehanna, Schuylkill, Lehigh and Delaware. The noisy trains crossing the valleys and climbing the mountains all verge, day and night, to these hives of industry, where multitudinous steam engines are hoisting and pumping, and breakers crushing. Thousands of miles of railroad thread the surface and dive into the interior, to roll out the black diamond flood in millions of tons of fuel to warm and employ the nation.

In a second paper, I propose to offer some important statistics and information regarding the harvesting of coal.

J. M. STILLMAN, in August *Journal of Science*, describes the appearance of a new resinous substance in a rocky matrix, from San Barnadino, Cal. It is found in detached masses, in vein form, over a distance of three miles. He seeks to explain its existence by ascribing it to exudations from existing conifers, but does not account for its paragenesis.

As a supplement to articles in the last November and January numbers of the *American Journal of Science*, John M. Stockwell details his investigations into the general theory of the moon's motion as affected by the sun's attraction. While taking a rather despondent view of our present knowledge of the factors in lunar calculation, he admits that the general methods of computation are undoubtedly correct.