## ON A PHOTOGRAPH OF JUPITER'S SPECTRUM, SHOWING EVIDENCE OF INTRINSIC LIGHT

## FROM THAT PLANET.

## By PROFESSOR HENRY DRAPER, M. D.\*

There has been for some years a discussion as to whether the planet Jupiter shone to any perceptible extent by his own intrinsic light, or whether the illumination was altogether derived from the sun. Some facts seem to point to the conclusion that it is not improbable that Jupiter is still hot enough to give out light, though perhaps only in a periodic or eruptive manner.

It is obvious that spectroscopic investigations may be usefully employed in the examination of this question, and I have incidentally, in the progress of an allied inquiry,<sup>1</sup> made a photograph which has sufficient interest to be submitted to the inspection of the Astronomical Society.

If the light of Jupiter be in large part the result of his own incandescence, it is certain that the spectrum must differ from that of the sun, unless the improbable hypothesis be advanced that the same elements, in the same proportions and under the same physical conditions, are present in both bodies. Most of the photographs I have made of the spectrum of Jupiter answer this question decidedly, and from their close resemblance to the spectrum of the sun indicate that, under the average circumstances of observation, almost all the light coming to the earth from Jupiter must be merely reflected light originating in the sun. For this reason I have used the spectrum of Jupiter as a reference on many of my stellar spectrum photographs.

But on one occasion, viz.: on September 27, 1879, a spectrum of Jupiter with a comparison spectrum of the moon was obtained which shows a different state of things. Fortunately, owing to the assiduous assistance of my wife, I have a good record of the circumstances under which this photograph was taken, and this will make it possible to connect the aspect of Jupiter at the time, with the spectrum photograph, though I did not examine Jupiter with any care through the telescope that night, and indeed did not have my attention attracted to this photograph till some time afterwards.

I send herewith to the Astronomical Society for examination the original negative which is just as it was produced, except that it has been cemented with Canada balsam to another piece of glass for protection. Attached to the photograph is an explanatory diagram, intended to point out the peculiarities which are of interest. It will be noticed at once that the main difference is not due to a change in the number or arrangement of the Fraunhofer lines, but rather to a variation in the strength of the background. In the case of the moon the background is uniform across the width of the spectrum in any region, but in the case of Jupiter the background is fainter in the middle of the width of the spectrum in the region above the line  $k_1$  and stronger in the middle in the region below k, especially towards F. The observer must not be confused by the dark portion where the two spectra overlap along the middle of the combined photograph.

In order to interpret this photograph it must be under-stood that the spectrum of Jupiter was produced from an image of the planet thrown through the slit of the spectroscope, by a telescope of 183 inches focal length, the slit being placed approximately in the direction of a line joining the poles of the planet. The spectroscope did not, therefore, integrate the light of the whole disk, but analyzed a band at right angles to the equator and extending across the disk. If either absorption or production of light were taking place on that portion of Jupiter's surface there might be a modification in the intensity of the general background of the photographed spectrum.

A casual inspection will satisfy any one that such modifications in the intensity of the background are readily perceptible in the original negative. They seem to me to point out two things that are occurring : first, an absorption of solar light in the equatorial regions of the planet; and second, a production of intrinsic light at the same place. We can reconcile these apparently opposing statements by the hypothesis that the temperature of the incandescent substances producing light at the equatorial regions of Jupiter did not suffice for the emission of the more refrangible rays, and that there were present materials which absorbed those rays from the sunlight falling on the planet.

If the spectrum photograph exhibited only the absorption phenomenon above  $\lambda$ , the interest attached to it would not be great because a physicist will readily admit from theoretical considerations that such might be the case owing to the colored belts of the planet. But the strengthening of the spectrum between h and F in the portions answering to the vicinity of the equatorial regions of Jupiter bears so directly on the problem of the physical condition of the planet as to incandescence that its importance cannot be overrated.

The circumstances under which this photograph was taken were as follows : Longitude of observatory  $4^{h}$   $65^{m}$   $29^{s}$  7 west of Greenwich. Night not very steady. Jupiter and the moon differed but little in altitude. Jupiter's spectrum was exposed to the photographic plate for fifty minutes, the moon was exposed for ten minutes. Jupiter was near the mer-idian. The photograph of Jupiter's spectrum was taken between  $9^h 55^m$  and  $10^h 45^m$ , New York mean time, Septem-ber 27, 1879.

I have suspected that perhaps there may have been an in-fluence produced by the great colored patch on Jupiter which has made itself felt in this photograph. It may be that eruptions of heated gases and vapors of various composition, color, and intensity of incandescence are taking place on the great planet, and a spot which would not be especially conspicuous from its tint to the eye might readily modify the spectrum in the manner spoken of above.

## SECULAR CHANGES IN THE EARTH'S FIGURE.

An interesting hypothesis has been promulgated before the French Academy by M. Faye. It has long been known from geodetic surveys and pendulum experi-It has long been ments that contingents and mountain ranges do exert that attraction on the pendulum which might be expected of them, judging from the observed at-traction of such isolated masses as Mount Schehallion, in Scotland, or the great pyramid. In fact, the deficiency of mountains in this respect is so striking that in order to account for it geologists and astronomers have imagined that there are vast cavities underlying continents and mountain A somewhat different explanation of the feeble chains. action of Himalayas on the pendulum has been offered by Sir George B. Airy, who supposes that the attraction of the mountains is counteracted by still fluid lakes of rock below them. But this suggestion does not meet the fact, elicited by M. Saigey, that the attraction on islands of the sea is greater than it ought to be. It appears to be clear, however, that there is a relative lack of matter under continents, and an excess of it under oceans. The hypothesis of M. Faye would seem to solve the problem in a very simple and reasonable manner. He holds that under the sea the earth's crust has cooled much more quickly than under dry land, and hence the solid sea-bed is denser and thicker than the sub-continental mass. Water is a good conductor of heat as compared with rock, and being liquid it is also able to convey heat from its underlying basin. Geodesy shows that the present figure of the earth is an ellipsoid of revolution ; but if M. Faye's hypothesis be correct, it has not always been so. At first it was an ellipsoid, but the unequal cooling of the earth, due to the liquid mantle covering it, led to unequal stress and the elevation of continents where the crust was thinner. These continents, according to M. Faye, sur-rounded the north pole, and the level of the ocean over our hemisphere was raised, thus bringing the earth to a more spheroidal form. Finally, as the cooling continued, the austral continents attracted the oceans, and the figure became once more elipsoidal, as it is to-day. If this in-genious speculation were the true one, it would unquestionably help geologists to explain the origin of the glacial period.—*Engineering*.

<sup>\*</sup> Read before the Royal Astronomical Society, May 14, 1880. 'See paper "On Photographing the Spectra of the Stars and Planets," read before the National Academy of Sciences, Oct. 28, 1879, and pub-lished in this Journal, Dec., 1879, and in Nature, Nov. 27, 1879.