

varying directly with the temperature, increasing and diminishing in amplitude as the temperature rises and falls. The molecules in solids do not travel from one part to another, but possess adhesion and retain fixity of position about their centre of oscillation. Matter, as we know it, has so high an absolute temperature that the movements of the molecules are large in comparison with their diameter, for the mass must be able to bear a reduction of temperature of nearly 300° C. before the amplitude of the molecular excursions would vanish.

The state of solidity, therefore—the state which we are in the habit of considering *par excellence* as that of *matter*—is merely the effect on our senses of the motion of the discrete molecules among themselves.

Solids exist of all consistencies, from the hardest metal, the most elastic crystal, down to thinnest jelly. A perfect solid would have no viscosity, *i.e.*, when rendered discontinuous or divided by the forcible passage of a harder solid, it would not close up behind and again become continuous.

In solid bodies the cohesion varies according to some unknown factor which we call chemical constitution; hence each kind of solid matter requires raising to a different temperature before the oscillating molecules lose their fixed position with reference to one another. At this point, varying in different bodies through a very wide range of temperature, the solid becomes liquid.

II. In liquids the force of cohesion is very much reduced, and the adhesion or the fixity of position of the centres of oscillating molecules is destroyed. When artificially heated, the inter-molecular movements increase in proportion as the temperature rises, until at last cohesion is broken down, and the molecules fly off into space with enormous velocities.

Liquids possess the property of viscosity—that is to say, they offer a certain opposition to the passage of solid bodies; at the same time they cannot permanently resist such opposition, however slight, if continuously applied. Liquids vary in consistency from the hard, brittle, apparently solid pitch to the lightest and most ethereal liquid capable of existing at any particular temperature.

The state of liquidity, therefore, is due to inter-molecular motions of a larger and more tumultuous character than those which characterize the solid state.

III. In gases the molecules fly about in every conceivable direction, with constant collision and enormous and constantly varying velocities, and their mean free path is sufficiently great to release them from the force of adhesion. Being free to move, the molecules exert pressure in all directions, and were it not for gravitation they would fly off into space. The gaseous state remains so long as the collisions continue to be almost infinite in number, and of inconceivable irregularity. The state of gaseity, therefore, is pre-eminently a state dependent on collisions. A given space contains millions of millions of molecules in rapid movement in all directions, each molecule having millions of encounters in a second. In such a case the length of the mean free path of the molecules is exceeding small compared with the dimensions of the containing vessel, and the properties which constitute the ordinary gaseous state of matter, which depend upon constant collisions, are observed.

What, then, are these molecules? Take a single lone molecule in space. Is it solid, liquid, or gas? Solid it cannot be, because the idea of solidity involves certain properties which are absent in the isolated molecule. In fact, an isolated molecule is an inconceivable entity, whether we try, like Newton, to visualise it as a little hard spherical body, or, with Bosovich and Faraday, to regard it as a centre of force, or accept Sir William Thomson's vortex atom. But if the individual molecule is not solid, *à fortiori* it cannot be regarded as a liquid or gas, for these states are even more due to inter-molecular collisions than is the solid state. The individual molecules, therefore, must be classed by themselves in a distinct state or category.

The same reason applies to two or to any number of contiguous molecules, provided their motion is arrested or controlled, so that no collisions occur between them; and even supposing this aggregation of isolated non-colliding molecules to be bodily transferred from one part of space to

another, that kind of movement would not thereby cause this molecular collocation to assume the properties of gas; a molecular wind may still be supposed to consist of isolated molecules, in the same way as the discharge from a mitrailleuse consists of isolated bullets.

Matter in the fourth state is the ultimate result of gaseous expansion. By great rarefaction the free path of the molecules is made so long that the hits in a given time may be disregarded in comparison to the misses, in which case the average molecule is allowed to obey its own motion or laws without interference; and if the mean free path is compatible with the dimensions of the containing vessel, the properties which constitute gaseity are reduced to a minimum, and the matter then becomes exalted to an ultra-gaseous state.

But the same condition of things will be produced if by any means we can take a portion of gas, and by some extraneous force infuse order into the apparently disorderly jostling of the molecules in every direction, by coercing them into a methodical rectilinear movement. This I have shown to be the case in the phenomena which cause the movements of the radiometer, and I have rendered such motion visible in my later researches on the negative discharge in vacuum tubes. In the one case the heated lamp-black and in the other the electrically excited negative pole supplies the *force majeure* which entirely or partially changes into a rectilinear motion the irregular vibration in all directions; and according to the extent to which this onward movement has replaced the irregular motions which constitute the essence of the gaseous condition, to that extent do I consider that the molecules have assumed the condition of radiant matter.

Between the third and the fourth states there is no sharp line of demarcation, any more than there is between the solid and liquid states, or the liquid and gaseous states; they each merge insensibly one into the other. In the fourth state properties of matter which exist even in the third state are shown *directly*, whereas in the state of gas they are only shown *indirectly*, by viscosity and so forth.

The ordinary laws of gases are a simplification of the effects arising from the properties of matter in the fourth state; such a simplification is only permissible when the mean length of path is small compared with the dimensions of the vessel. For simplicity's sake we make abstraction of the individual molecules, and feign to our imagination *continuous* matter, of which the fundamental properties—such as pressure varying as the density, and so forth—are ascertained by experiment. A gas is nothing more than an assembly of molecules contemplated from a simplified point of view. When we deal with phenomena in which we are obliged to contemplate the molecules individually, we must not speak of the assemblage as *gas*.

These considerations lead to another and curious speculation. The molecule—intangible, invisible, and hard to be conceived—is the only true *matter*, and that which we call matter is nothing more than the effect upon our sense of the movements of molecules, or, as John Stuart Mill expresses it, “a permanent possibility of sensation.” The space covered by the motion of molecules has no more right to be called matter than the air traversed by a rifle bullet can be called lead. From this point of view, then, matter is but a mode of motion; at the absolute zero of temperature the inter-molecular movement would stop, and although *something* retaining the properties of inertia and weight would remain, *matter*, as we know it, would cease to exist.

NOTE BY THE DUKE OF ARGYLE.

In the very interesting communication from Mr. Crookes on “A Fourth State of Matter,” which is contained in *Nature*, vol. xxii. p. 153, there is a paragraph at the end which advances, as it seems to me, some most disputable propositions.

Like many other questions of modern science, the question he raises is to a very large extent a question of definition. But questions of definition are questions of the very highest importance in philosophy, and they need to be watched accordingly.

Speculating on the ultimate conceptions of Matter which are affected by the discovery of it in "a fourth condition," Mr. Crookes says: "From this point of view, then, Matter is but a 'mode of motion.'"

It has never appeared to me that this well-known phrase is a very happy one, even as applied to Heat. It is possible, of course, to consider Heat from this point of view. But then it is equally possible to consider all other phenomena whatever from the same point of view. Not only Heat, but Light, Sound, Electricity, Galvanism, and Sensation itself in all its forms, may be regarded as "modes of motion."

But at least in the application of this phrase to Heat there is an intelligible meaning, and not a mere confusion of thought. But as applied to Matter—as a definition of our ultimate conception of matter—it appears to me to confound distinctions which are primary and essential. "Motion" is an idea which presupposes Matter and Space. Motion has no meaning whatever except the movement of Matter in Space. To define Matter, therefore, as a "mode of motion," is to define it as Matter in a state of motion. But this definition necessarily implies that Matter can also be conceived as without motion, and accordingly Mr. Crookes is obliged to confess that "at the absolute zero of temperature inter-molecular movement would stop," and that after that, Matter would remain with all the "properties of inertia and of weight."

Again Mr. Crookes says: "The space covered by the motion of molecules has no more right to be called Matter than the air traversed by a rifle bullet can be called lead." No doubt this is true; but it implies what is not true, that the common idea of Matter is nothing but "the space covered by the motion of molecules." The popular idea attached to words of primary significance may not be always adequate or complete. But in my opinion they are generally much more near the truth, and more accurately represent the truth than most of the phrases which scientists are now inventing in the region of transcendental physics.

These phrases have their value and their interest as representing special and partial aspects of phenomena. But I hold that the unconscious metaphysics of human speech are often the deepest and truest interpretations of the ultimate facts of nature

ON A NEW JELLY-FISH OF THE ORDER TRACHOMEDUSÆ, LIVING IN FRESH WATER.

On Thursday last, June 10, Mr. Sowerby, the Secretary of the Botanical Society of London, observed in the tank in the water-lily house in Regent's Park a peculiar organism, of which he was kind enough to place a large number at my disposal on the following Monday.

The organism proves to be an adult medusa belonging to the order Trachomedusæ and the family Petasidæ of Hæckel's system ("System der Medusen," Erster Theil). It comes nearest among described genera to Fritz Müller's imperfectly known *Aglauropsis* from the coast of Brazil.

The most obviously interesting matter about the form under notice is that it occurs in great abundance in perfectly fresh water at a temperature of 90° Fahr.

Hitherto no medusa of any order has been detected in fresh water—except perhaps some stray estuarine forms (*Crambessa*?).

It is exceedingly difficult to trace the introduction of this animal into the tank in the Regent's Park, since no plants have been recently (within twelve months) added to the lily-house, and the water is run off every year. Probably a few specimens were last year or the year before present in the tank, and have only this year multiplied in sufficient abundance to attract attention. Clearly this medusa is a tropical species, since it flourishes in water of the high temperature of 90° Fahr.

Mr. Sowerby has observed the medusa feeding on *Daphnia*, which abounds in the water with it.

The present form will have to be placed in a new genus, for which I propose the name *Craspedacusta*, in allusion to the relation of its otocysts to its velum.

It is one of the sub-class Hydromedusæ or Medusæ *craspedotæ*, and presents the common characters of the order

Trachomedusæ (as distinguished from the Narcomedusæ) in having its genital sacs or gonads placed in the course of the radial canals. It agrees with all Tracholinæ (Trachomedusæ and Narcomedusæ) in having endodermal otocysts, and it further exhibits the solid tentacles with cartilaginous axis, the centripetal traveling of the tentacles, the tentacle rivets (Mantel-spangen), the thickened marginal ring to the disk (Nessel-ring) observed in many Tracholinæ.

Amongst Trachomedusæ, *Craspedacusta* finds its place in the Petasidæ, which are characterized as "Trachomedusæ with four radial canals, in the course of which the four gonads lie, with a long tubular stomach and no stomach-stalk."

Amongst Petasidæ it is remarkable for the great number of its tentacles, which are all solid; and for its very numerous otocysts. Further, it is remarkable among all Hydromedusæ (velate medusæ, that is, exclusive of *Charybdæa*) for the fact that centrifugal radiating canals pass from the otocysts into the velum, where they end caecally.

The genus may be characterized as follows:

MOUTh quadrifid, with four per-radial lobes.

STOMACH long, quadrangular, and tubular, projecting a good deal below the disk.

DISK, saucer-shaped, that is, flattened.

RADIATING CANALS 4, opening into the marginal canal.

GONADS 4, in the form of 4 oval sacs, depending into the cavity of the subumbrella from the four radiating canals.

MARGINAL or RING CANAL voluminous.

CENTRIPETAL CANALS (such as those of *Olindias*, *Geryonia*, etc.) absent.

TENTACLES solid; in three sets, which are placed in three superimposed horizons:—

1. A set nearest the aboral pole, of 4 large per-radial tentacles. These are the *primary* tentacles.
2. A second tier of (in large specimens) 28 medium-sized tentacles placed between these in four groups of seven. These are the *secondary* tentacles.
3. A third tier of (in large specimens) 192 small tentacles placed in groups of six between adjacent secondary tentacles. These are the *tertiary* tentacles.

TENTACLE-RIVETS (Mantel-spangen) connecting the roots of the tentacles with the marginal ring (Nessel-ring) are connected with all the tentacles of each of the three horizons.

OTOLITHS placed along the line of insertion of the velum—about eighty in number (fewer in small specimens). From sixteen to twenty are placed between successive per-radial tentacles arranged in groups of two or three between the successive secondary tentacles.

VELAR CENTRIFUGAL CANALS (which are really the elongated otocysts) are peculiar to this genus, passing from the otoliths (one inclosing each otolith) into the velum, and there ending blindly. They appear to correspond in character to the *centripetal* canals found in other Trachomedusæ in the disk.

OCELLI are absent.

[The presence of velar otocystic canals constitute the chief peculiarity of the genus *Craspedacusta*, and may necessitate the formation of a distinct family or sub-order for its reception. The minute structure of the otoliths and canal-like otocysts I am now engaged in investigating.]

The above characters are derived from the examination of *adult* male specimens, which were freely discharging ripe, actively motile spermatozoa.

The species may be known as *CRASPEDACUSTA SOWERBII*, nov. gen. et sp.—I name the species in honor of Mr. Sowerby, who discovered it, and to whose quick observation and courteous kindness zoologists are indebted for the knowledge of this interesting animal.

The sole character which I can give as specific over and above the generic characters summarized above is that of size. The diameter of the disk does not exceed one-third of an inch.

Locality.—The water-lily tank in the gardens of the Botanical Society, Regent's Park, London.

Very abundant during June, 1880. Probably introduced from the West Indies.

—*Nature*.

E. RAY LANKESTER.